

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,612	03/17/2004	In-duk Hwang	249/453 1923	
759	90 01/09/2006		EXAMINER	
LEE & STERI	BA, P.C.		NATARAJA	N, VIVEK
Suite 2000 1101 Wilson Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22209			3735	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/00/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/801,612	HWANG ET AL.			
Office Actio	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
7. 44.00	FF (4)	Vivek Natarajan	3735			
The MAILING DA	i E ot this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be avail after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specifie - Failure to reply within the set or	ER, FROM THE MAILING D able under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 mailing date of this communication. d above, the maximum statutory period extended period for reply will, by statute later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to cor	mmunication(s) filed on 17 M	larch 2004.				
2a) This action is FINA	<b>AL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this applicat	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordar	nce with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/a	re pending in the application					
, , ,	laim(s) is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) is/	are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/a	re rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/						
8) Claim(s) ar	e subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is	s objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) file	d on <u>17 March 2004</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	o by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not re	equest that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
•	• ' '	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob				
11)☐ The oath or declar	ation is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment i a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some		n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
1.⊠ Certified co	pies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
2. Certified co	pies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicati	on No			
<del>-</del> •	•	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
• •	from the International Burea	•				
* See the attached de	etailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited	(PTO-892) tent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
	ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kemeny et al. (US Patent No. 5,039,855) as cited by applicant.
- 3. Regarding Claims 1 and 3, Kemeny discloses a method of measuring a concentration of a component in a subject comprising: setting an intensity relationship equation between a positive and negative-order beam (see equation at top of col. 13), radiating a light of a first wavelength through an acousto-optic tunable filter to generate said positive and negative-order beams of a second wavelength, sending one beam to the subject and the other beam to a reference, using the measured intensities of the sample and reference beams to calculate the absorbance, and subsequently using the absorbance to calculate the concentration of the component in the subject (col. 2, line 66 col. 4, line 6).
- 4. Regarding Claim 4, the method disclosed by Kemeny is executed by a microprocessor.
- 5. Regarding Claim 5, Kemeny discloses an apparatus (Fig. 3) for performing the aforementioned method steps comprising: a light source 102, an RF signal generator

Application/Control Number: 10/801,612 Page 3

Art Unit: 3735

306a (see Fig. 11), an acoustic-optic tunable filter 114, first and second light detectors 180, 180a (see Fig. 8), and a signal processor 302 (see Fig. 11).

- 6. Regarding Claim 6, the apparatus further comprises a condenser lens system 104 between the light source and tunable filter (see Fig. 3).
- 7. Regarding Claim 7, the light source 102 is a tungsten halogen light bulb (col. 5, lines 66-67).
- 8. Regarding Claim 8, the photodetectors 180, 180a are made of InGaAs (col. 8, lines 63-64).
- 9. Regarding Claims 9-10, the acousto-optic tunable filter 114 comprises a piezoelectric transducer 122 and a birefringent crystal (col. 4, line 61 col. 5, line 16).
- 10. Regarding Claims 11-12, first and second optical fibers 132a, 132b guide the positive and negative-order beams to the subject and the reference, respectively.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 2 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kemeny et al, as cited by applicant. Kemeny discloses a method of measuring a concentration of a component in a subject comprising: setting an intensity relationship equation between a positive and negative-order beam, radiating a light of a first

Art Unit: 3735

wavelength through an acousto-optic tunable filter to generate said positive and negative-order beams of a second wavelength, sending one beam to the subject and the other beam to a reference, using the measured intensities of the sample and reference beams to calculate the absorbance, and subsequently using the absorbance to calculate the concentration of the component in the subject. In Kemeny's method, the input intensities of the sample and reference beams are assumed to be equivalent, so no explicit disclosure is made of performing reference measurements to determine the exact relative intensity of each input beam. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kemeny's method to include steps to determine the relative intensities of the input beams more precisely, since this allows for more accurate measurements.

13. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kemeny et al. as applied to Claim 11 above, and further in view of Messerschmidt (US Patent No. 5,655,530). Kemeny discloses an apparatus for performing the aforementioned method steps comprising: a light source, an RF signal generator, an acoustic-optic tunable filter, first and second light detectors, and a signal processor 302. Kemeny does not disclose that the apparatus comprises a refractive index-matching unit disposed between the optical fiber 132a and the subject. Messerschmidt discloses an apparatus for noninvasively measuring blood analyte concentrations wherein a refractive index-matching medium is placed in contact with the subject (col. 12, lines 10-67). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus as disclosed by Kemeny et al. to include a

Art Unit: 3735

refractive index-matching unit as taught by Messerschmidt, since this allows for improved accuracy in measuring analyte concentrations.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivek Natarajan whose telephone number is (571)272-6249. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ali Imam can be reached on (571)272-4737. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ERIC F. WINAKUR

VN